

WILLOW RUN OPEN HOUSE COMMENTS

Citizen Comment	Do you have preference for Option 1 (no break in fence) or Option 2 (narrow break in fence)?	Do you prefer the wood open space fence or the wire fence?	Other Comments
1	No preference	Wood	I live on this open space and while I appreciate the willingness of Broomfield to listen to homeowners' concerns, I question the need for a fence at this time. I have lived here for 7 1/2 years and p-dog migration has not been a concern. Regarding signage, I would prefer the one proposed sign by Alcott Street entrance either be moved to the east of the sidewalk or simply not installed.
2	Narrow break, but to the west at pump station.	Open space fence. I like the rabbit bushes, too.	Consider not mowing at west end. Also decrease buffer zone. Please don't kill the prairie dogs. Fence should be next to path instead of set back. Thanks for your plan.
3	There should be an opening in the fence near the pump station.	There should be a wooden fence right next to the walkway. There is no need for a buffer zone with a fence.	Let the p-dogs be.
4	Neither--I would like to see the area revegetated and the p-dogs removed. I want to see trails that are maintained, not social. There is a huge difference from 2003 to 2006. It's a moonscape.	Wood open space to keep out vehicles.	I find that the options presented are unfair and one-sided. There was no option for trails, revegetation, removal of p-dogs. If you want opinions, then offer all options. Let's make the area more sustainable--short grass prairie, wildflowers.
5	Not really but I don't think the p-dogs are a problem. They stay in their area and run as people approach.	The wood looks better but I think it's a waste of \$\$\$. Instead, I'd rather see the city & county put the \$\$ into noxious weed management. There is a serious infestation of bindweed, thistle, spurge (leafy & prostate), kochia and curly dock. I'm more concerned about and have more of a problem with noxious weeds infesting/invading my property than with p-dogs.	Instead, I'd rather see the city & county put the \$\$ into noxious weed management. There is a serious infestation of bindweed, thistle, spurge (leafy & prostate), kochia and curly dock. I'm more concerned about and have more of a problem with noxious weeds infesting/invading my property than with p-dogs. Thanks for holding this open house.
6	My preference is <u>neither</u> Option 1 or Option 2.		I would like open space more usable with trails and natural vegetation. I understand that means p-dog management.
7	Option 2 - with additional break at second western trail. Also, buffer zone can be smaller--50 feet is plenty, right along the sidewalk would be even better.	The prairie dogs are fine. Unless there is a positive plague test, leave them alone. Wood fence or no fence--the wire fence is ugly.	Put rabbit brush around pump station to disguise it more. Weed control is really needed.
8	My preference is Option 2 with the small break in the fence. In fact, I would prefer an additional break in the fence further west by the other walking trail. The most important thing is that this remain open space and that means <u>with</u> p-dogs. The dogs are an important part of the ecosystem in the open space.	Wood fence! The simple wire fence is unattractive. I would rather have no fence at all than be stuck with the wire fence. The wood fence with rabbit brush is by far my preference. The fence can/should be closer than 120' from the street to allow for more open space on the other side.	The p-dogs are <u>not</u> a big problem, at least on the west side. It would be nice if the fence and brush could be in front of the pump station. I bought this house because it was across from open space and I want it to stay that way.
9	Option 2, break in fence.	Wood open space fence.	
10	I prefer the Option 2 with the break. It will allow access to the individuals who would be using it the most.	The wood open space fence looks much nicer. I also agree that the brush will be necessary.	
11	Option 2 - possibly even consider other pathways so that the residents can continue to enjoy the open space.	Definitely agree with placing the wood fence due to the look and upkeep factor.	Many residents of Willow Run village enjoy this area and is a great selling point as to why to live in this area.
12	Completely disagree with Option 1. Prefer Option 2. Would like to see access point at Public Works building. This is where I always enter the space.	Wood	Option 1 would be very inconvenient and I would not choose it.
13			Do NOT allow prairie dogs to occupy the open space. Native grasses, trees and walking/biking paths; signage for dogs on leashes; waste barrels with once/twice a week pickup.
14	I like the idea of a fence to keep the prairie dogs back from the homes. I understand the concerns that some have for the prairie dogs, but I live directly across from the open space and think my family is much more important. The prairie dogs are spreading rapidly because of too few predators to keep them in check, so it's the city's responsibility to keep them in check and contained. I think having an opening in middle of the fence for access is the better choice than having it closed off except for the ends. To keep p-dogs from using the opening to gain access to the north side of the fence, you should put in a gate. The City of Westminster has done this further west on the trail (a little ways past 120th) near a neighborhood.	Wood much preferred over the rods-it's much more attractive. Please consider extending the fence more to the west or at least adding another section west of the small building and the wet area. There are p-dogs on the east/NE side of the treatment plant and they are encroaching just as much as those in the area you have indicated on the map. I have seen them venture into the streets. They may have come from a different direction, but are just as much a problem to those of us living there.	One last suggestion, please consider planting some evergreen trees on the NE side of the treatment plant's fence. The north side of the treatment plant is nicely obstructed by a hill and trees behind the fence, but there is nothing on the east/NE side. It is somewhat of an eyesore and has lots of lights, particularly as they continue to build. Based on the construction they're doing, I don't think they'll have room for a hill and trees behind the fence on that side like they have on the north side."
15			Don't do anything to the area. Just leave as is.
16			Why manage the open space? Leave the space as is! I was attracted to the Broomfield area because of the open space and wildlife. It is depressing to see the wildlife disappearing because of the commercial/residential growth and traffic. The prairie dogs are just about the only wildlife that is visible when I enjoy the open space activities and its trails. We have ball fields/playgrounds on both side of Willow Run, we don't need a third field. Please leave the open space and the wildlife alone, it would be devastating to discover the prairie dogs all terminated for a well manicured area with fencing and signs just for aesthetics. I have lived in the community for 10 years and would like to see the plot of land dedicated for a wildlife sanctuary, the space is really too small to accommodate anything else. My vote is to leave the open space as is.
17			No specific comments--just want to be informed about the project in their neighborhood.